

From: [Elisabeth Beeley](#)
To: [Roberts, Ali](#)
Subject: Sutton Mandeville path 13 diversion
Sent: 05/09/2025 12:35:45

I object to the made order for reasons already given in my objection to the application, and will give further reasons for appealing against it as the process evolves. Beeley

From: [Elisabeth Beeley](#)
To: [Roberts, Ali](#)
Subject: The Homestead Footpath, SP3 5ND
Sent: 18/06/2025 12:19:39

I wish to repeat my objection to the alteration to the course of SMAM13 Sutton Mandeville P/2023/016; the applicants are entirely responsible for their loss of privacy by making major alterations to their property and its setting without consulting, or approval of the near neighbours. The revised route is longer and difficult and involves destruction of much of the original path.

This is a much valued ancient, and extensive, Right of Way and there can be no justification for allowing any alteration. EB

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Roberts, Ali](#)
Subject: Application to alter footpath SMAN 13 Sutton Mandeville, SP3 5ND
Sent: 03/03/2025 16:46:45

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

I I wish to object to the application to move the above footpath for the following reasons:

1. Right to privacy: This was lost by the removal of the mature hedge by the new owners
2. Access: Suggested new footpath difficult to negotiate...steep hill.
3. New pedestrian access extended along narrow lane, no pavement, traffic which would endanger walkers with children and dogs.

I have kept my objections short as all points have been well covered already.