

**From:** [liz barrah](#)  
**To:** [Roberts, Ali](#)  
**Subject:** Objection to appeal diversion of SMAN13  
**Sent:** 11/09/2025 20:26:33

---

I would like to object again to the diversion of the SMAN13.

The residents claim that privacy and security are at risk. I would suggest that there is a heightened security risk as the path will divert past a solar array, agricultural barn and veg plot that will be out of sight from the main residence.

The planning consent in 2014 was given as there was a mature hedge that screened the modern extension on a beautiful historic cottage. The owners chose to take out this hedge which could still be there to screen walkers. The extensive changes made by the owners, some of which have still not appeared, have made any footpath difficult to maintain on the property.

The comments made by villagers, some of whom have lived here for decades, have not been listened to by the owners and I feel in a small community it is important to do so.

Many of us live with footpaths going through our properties and we have a duty to work around what has been there far longer than any of us.

Sent from my iPhone

I would like to object to the revised plan for the diversion of SMAN13.

**From:** [liz barrah](#)  
**To:** [Roberts, Ali](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Revised Point B Sutton Mandeville 13  
**Sent:** 17/06/2025 21:30:28

---

The diversion is confusing and passes areas not yet changed by the residents. The footpath has been politely closed for some time but as all the building work hasn't been completed it seems completely inappropriate to divert an easy straight footpath. The plans show a solar array, an agricultural building, tree planting and a vegetable plot, all next to the new diverted path. In my opinion it is just relocating the perceived 'problem'.

With so many plans for change at this property wouldn't it be better to creatively screen the original historic footpath, as it was before with a hedge. Surely this would be the easiest solution that would benefit everyone.

I would ask the residents of The Homestead to withdraw yet another planning application and leave the footpath where it is, for the sake of other villagers, walkers and our heritage.

Liz Barrah

Sent from my iPhone

On 16 May 2025, at 15:45, Roberts, Ali <Ali.Roberts@wiltshire.gov.uk> wrote:

**Highways Act 1980**  
**The Proposed Diversion of Footpath Sutton Mandeville 13**

Good afternoon,

I have been asked to revise Point B on the proposed diversion plan for SMAN13 to show the route on the ground where there is a bend heading west into the sunken lane section of SMAN13, as can be seen in the attached photographs.

<image006.png>

<image007.png>

<image004.png>

<image005.png>

The content of the consultation email below, dated 7 May, remains the same, as does the consultation deadline, which is 5pm on the 18<sup>th</sup> June as this still provides the required 28 day consultation period.

Kind regards,

Ali

Ali Roberts (Miss)  
Definitive Map Officer  
Definitive Map and Highway Records  
Wiltshire Council  
County Hall  
Trowbridge  
BA14 8JN  
<image001.png>

Tel: 01225 756178

Email: [ali.roberts@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:ali.roberts@wiltshire.gov.uk)

Web: [www.wiltshire.gov.uk](http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk)

Report a problem <https://my.wiltshire.gov.uk/>

Follow Wiltshire Council

<image002.png>