

Hi Ali,

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Roberts, Ali](#)
Subject: Re: Highways Act 1980 S.118 S.26 - PURT104
Sent: 22/10/2025 11:40:52

Thanks for the confirmation. I would like to register my objection to the making of the order relating to PURT104.

The area of land in question is not garden land. Just because the landowner has tried to make it seem to be a garden, it is not, it is agricultural land. It was agricultural land when the property was purchased and it still is now.

Therefore the DEFRA guidance has been incorrectly used in making this order.

I also do not see what has changed since the public inquiry in 2012. The points from this still stand.

Kind regards,

David Miles

[Sent from the all-new AOL app for iOS](#)

On Wednesday, September 24, 2025, 2:34 pm, Roberts, Ali <Ali.Roberts@wiltshire.gov.uk> wrote:

Highways Act 1980 S.118
The Proposed Extinguishment of Purton 104 (part)

Highways Act 1980 S.26
The Proposed Creation of Purton 104 (part)

Wiltshire Council made the above Order on 11 September 2025. Please find attached a copy of the Orders, the Order Plans and the Notice of making the Orders.

Notice of the made order will be advertised in the Gazette and Herald 25 September 2025 and on site.

The decision report is available to view by following the attached link to the public register for this case [P/2023/013 - Rights Of Way - Wiltshire Council](#)

Kindest regards,

Ali

Please note that any responses to this letter will be available for public inspection in full. Information relating to the way Wiltshire Council will manage your data can be found at: <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/recreation-rights-of-way>

Ali Roberts (Miss)
Definitive Map Officer
Definitive Map and Highway Records
Wiltshire Council
County Hall
Trowbridge
BA14 8JN

Wiltshire Council

Tel: 01225 756178
Email: ali.roberts@wiltshire.gov.uk
Web: www.wiltshire.gov.uk

Report a problem <https://my.wiltshire.gov.uk/>

Follow Wiltshire Council



[Sign up](#) to Wiltshire Council's email news service

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Roberts, Ali](#)
Subject: Highways Act 1980 S.119 - proposed diversion of Purton 104
Sent: 17/07/2025 22:50:03

[REDACTED]
Dear Ali,

I would like to object the application to divert Purton 104 right of way.

Firstly, the landowner was fully aware of the existence of this ancient right of way upon purchasing the property, it seems clear that the main reason for trying to get rid of the right of way is simply because they doesn't like its existence. I don't believe that this is cause for diversion.

The landowner has clearly allowed the right of way to become overgrown to attempt to discourage the public from using the route, this coupled with misleading signage of 'dangers' on the path has pushed people to use the permissive path, this is not reason to divert the original right of way.

Moving on to the alleged criminal activity, I personally do not see a correlation between the alleged activities and the right of way in question. I also do not see how the, again, alleged criminal activity at Ringsbury Camp can be attributed to the right of way, there are several other routes to the Camp, so diverting the route as a result of this is a completely irrelevant point.

The landowner made the decision to extend the garden and so the right of way is now in the new 'garden', additionally, by removing hedgerows from the right of way has in turn made the property more visible from the path. The fact that the agricultural land has been turned into someones garden area is not a reason to divert the original right of way.

I request that this application is refused, the so called issues are solely attributed to the landowners doing. To suggest that the issues are caused by the Purton walking community is a poor attempt to grab land and is frankly, entitled. It seems purely for personal gain/greed.

Regards

David Miles